

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.waybi.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/601,952	06/23/2003	Karl A. Jagger	1001.2192101	7910	
28075 CROMPTON	7590 01/29/200 SEAGER & TUFTE, I	EXAM	EXAMINER		
1221 NICOLLET AVENUE			SONNETT, KATHLEEN C		
SUITE 800 MINNEAPOL	IS, MN 55403-2420	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
		3731			
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			01/29/2009	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/601,952		JAGGER ET AL.		
	Examiner	Art Unit		
	KATHLEEN SONNETT	3731		

	KATHLEEN SONNETT	3731				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress			
THE REPLY FILED 08 January 2009 FAILS TO PLACE THIS A	APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	ALLOWANCE.				
<ol> <li>M The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance: (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C</li> </ol>	replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit eal (with appeal fee) in compliance	, or other evidence, with 37 CFR 41.31; or	which places the r (3) a Request			
periods: a) The period for reply expires 5 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A		n the final rejection, whi	chever is later. In			
no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.  Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TO						
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(		20(-) 1 11				
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patient term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL.	tension and the corresponding amount of shortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing date	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Office	ate extension fee te action; or (2) as			
The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp.	liance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be f	iled within two months	s of the date of			
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any externous of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed w	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the				
AMENDMENTS						
<ol> <li>The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, I</li> </ol>			cause			
(a) They raise new issues that would require further co		E below);				
<ul> <li>(b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo</li> <li>(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or</li> </ul>		lucing or simplifying t	he issues for			
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	corresponding number of finally reje	cted claims.				
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	21. See attached Notice of Non-Cor	mpliant Amendment (I	PTOL-324).			
<ol> <li>Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)</li> </ol>		.,				
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>		imely filed amendmer	nt canceling the			
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proved the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		be entered and an e	xplanation of			
Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected: 9-20.						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>1-8 and 21-30</u> . AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE						
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but</li> </ol>	t before or on the date of filing a No	tion of Annual will not	be entered			
because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).						
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar</li> </ol>	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	l and/or appellant fail:	s to provide a			
10.  The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after er	try is below or attach	ed.			
<ol> <li>The request for reconsideration has been considered bu <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u></li> </ol>		condition for allowan	ce because:			
12. Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). 13. Other:	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)					
/Anhtuan T. Nguyen/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3731	KCS					

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

Continuation of 11, does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:

Applicant's arguments have been considered but are not found persuasive. Applicant argues that deditional steps disclosed by Shortt use as a heating step or the presence of additional molds distinguish the claimed invention from the prior art of Shortt. This is not found persuasive as the claims include the transitional phrase "comprising" which is considered inclusive or open ended and does not exclude additional, unrecided elements or method steeps (see MPEP 2111.03).

Applicant also argues that the balloon does not contact the 2<sup>rd</sup> TFE and states that the pillow gap formed by heat setting the balloon against the "3" center TFE" appears to prevent the balloon from expanding sufficiently to contact the 2"d PROX TFE", it is unclear to the examiner how the pillow gap would prevent the balloon from expanding to contact the 2"d PROX TFE", it is unclear to the distance between the pillow and the end of the stent, it was originally thought by the examiner that applicant was arguing that the proximal section of the balloon does not engage the stent (see p. 13 of remarks filled 5/62009). This argument was arguing that the proximal office action mailed 9/2/2008. The 2"d TFE in fig. 2 is used to form the pillow in the balloon and the balloon engages this tube as it is initiated to form the pillow.

Regarding the diameter of the distal section of the balloon, as currently claimed, the diameter can be taken at any portion of the distal section of the balloon. There is nothing in the claim that necessitates that this diameter is constant along the entire length of the distal section.